

**From:** [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)  
**To:** [Kerman, Sara J. \(Fed\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Today's Meeting Notes  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 19, 2017 11:19:03 AM

---

We just cleared HK17. We said we'd check something in their spec, and we checked it.

So we still have 2 pending.

---

**From:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 19, 2017 11:08 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Today's Meeting Notes

Thanks for clarifying. I only had FAPKC as one algorithm row previously (didn't realize they were two)! 😊

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 19, 2017 10:54 AM  
**To:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <[sara.kerman@nist.gov](mailto:sara.kerman@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Today's Meeting Notes

The other pending was pqNTRUsign.

Note – FAPKC was 2 separate algorithms (like Post Quantum RSA). We're saying NO to both.

We're also going to say NO to LEDAsig.

Everything else looks correct.

---

**From:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 19, 2017 10:34 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** Today's Meeting Notes

I heard 9 that we said no to:

1. Edon-S
2. FAPKC
3. GuessAgain
4. Kayawood KAP
5. KAZ
6. KERUS
7. NTRU Prime IIT Ukraine
8. Theory of Mathematical Defense to ...
9. TPSIG

Two pending

1. Asymmetric Cryptosystem Based
2. ??

Post-Quantum RSA (Encryption/KEM/Signature) will be two separate algorithms

1. Post-Quantum RSA-Encryption
2. Post-Quantum RSA-Signature